

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/759,057 | 01/12/2001 | Francois Masson | A33918 070337.0232 | 1937 |
| 75 | 90 \ \ 11/01/2002 | | | |
| BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 44TH FLOOR 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112-4498 | | | MULCAHY, PETER D | |
| NEW YORK, N | 1Y 10112-4498 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1713 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 4. | 09/759,057 | MASSON ET AL. |
|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | Examin r | Art Unit |
| | Peter D. Mulcahy | 1713 |
| Th MAILING DATE of this commun | ication appears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondenc address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply. Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a remunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty fatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT and will be statute. cause the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) f | iled on <u>12 January 2001</u> . | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . | 2b) This action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the prace Disposition of Claims | n for allowance except for formal matt ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D | ters, prosecution as to the ments is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the | application. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a | are withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | • |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restrict | ion and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the | ne Examiner. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are | : a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by th | ne Examiner. |
| , | jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya | • |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction file | ed on is: a)□ approved b)□ di | sapproved by the Examiner. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are re | equired in reply to this Office action. | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to | o by the Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | • | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a clain | n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority | documents have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority | documents have been received in Ap | oplication No |
| | of the priority documents have been national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Ton for a list of the certified copies not recognitions. | • |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim | for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(e) (to a provisional application). |
| | nguage provisional application has be | en received. |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I | PTO-948) 5) Notice of I | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) | Office Action Summary | Part of Paper No√ố |

Serial No. 09/759,057

Art Unit 1713

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a composition, classified in Class 524, subclass 262.
- II. Claims 11 and 12, drawn to a process, classified in Class 526, subclass various.
- III. Claims 13-26, drawn to a safety support, classified in Class 152, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an adhesive or coating composition and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds

Serial No. 09/759,057

Art Unit 1713

one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as a single stage mixing and subsequent curing.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Rochelle Seide on September 16, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-3599.

Art Unit 1713

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

P. Mulcahy:cdc September 20, 2002

> PETER D. MULCAHY PRIMARY EXAMINER